

NOTICE: THIS DECISION DOES NOT CREATE LEGAL PRECEDENT
AND MAY NOT BE CITED EXCEPT AS AUTHORIZED BY APPLICABLE
RULES. See Ariz. R. Supreme Court 111(c); ARCAP 28(c); Ariz. R. Crim. P. 31.24.

FILED BY CLERK

SEP 18 2008

COURT OF APPEALS
DIVISION TWO

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS
STATE OF ARIZONA
DIVISION TWO

THE STATE OF ARIZONA,

Appellee,

v.

ANTHONY JOSEPH STRINGER,

Appellant.

2 CA-CR 2007-0034
DEPARTMENT A

MEMORANDUM DECISION

Not for Publication
Rule 111, Rules of
the Supreme Court

APPEAL FROM THE SUPERIOR COURT OF PIMA COUNTY

Cause No. CR-20043907

Honorable Michael J. Cruikshank, Judge

AFFIRMED

Payson & Gattone
By Paul J. Gattone

Tucson
Attorneys for Appellant

B R A M M E R, Judge.

¶1 Twelve jurors found appellant Anthony Stringer guilty of seven felonies: three counts of aggravated assault, two counts of endangerment, and one count each of criminal damage and fleeing from a law enforcement vehicle.¹ Stringer committed the charged

¹The jury found Stringer not guilty of two additional counts of aggravated assault with a dangerous instrument and one additional count of fleeing from a law enforcement vehicle.

offenses during and immediately after a high-speed, fifty-minute, law-enforcement pursuit of the vehicle he was driving.² The jury found that all but the last two counts were dangerous-nature offenses and that Stringer had three historical prior felony convictions. At sentencing in January 2007, the trial court imposed a combination of enhanced, consecutive and concurrent, presumptive and partially aggravated sentences totaling 35.75 years in prison.

¶2 On appeal, counsel has filed a brief pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), and *State v. Leon*, 104 Ariz. 297, 451 P.2d 878 (1969). He states he “has reviewed the entire record on appeal, and . . . has not found any substantial errors.” Counsel has identified no arguably meritorious issues, and Stringer has not filed a supplemental brief.

¶3 Pursuant to our obligation under *Anders*, we have reviewed the trial court record in its entirety and have searched the record for error. We have found substantial evidence to support the jury’s verdicts and no fundamental error. Stringer’s convictions and sentences are, therefore, affirmed.

J. WILLIAM BRAMMER, JR., Judge

CONCURRING:

JOHN PELANDER, Chief Judge

²The assault and endangerment victims were Tucson police officers, and two police cars were the property that was damaged.

JOSEPH W. HOWARD, Presiding Judge